Corporate Governance Risk Register Generated on: 03 February 2017





Drivers	Smarter Governance - Pa	narter Governance - Participation					
Code	CG001	Risk that Business Contin	nuity Planning is not managed or tested				
Definition	Effective and robust Busin	ess Continuity Planning and Disaster Recovery arrangements are essential to safeguard public services and to protect communities					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk	
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment	
Internal: • Inability to operate key system	s (IT, Financial, HR, Legal	Absence of effective Business Continuity Planning Policy	Clear ownership of BCPs	Fully Effective	1	0	
and Commercial and Procureme • Inadequate disaster recovery.		No clear ownership of BCPs or review by management	Quarterly review by SMT	Partially Effective	1	Impact	
Inability to collect revenue Inability to pay creditors		No review programme No regular testing of BCPs	Robust disaster recovery arrangements and structures in place	Fully Effective	1	Likelihood	
Reputational damage		Inadequate disaster recovery planning across the range of	Regular Internal audit of BC Planning and Disaster recovery.	Fully Effective	2		
External: • Serious harm / loss of life to m	embers of the community.	Corporate Governance business critical and non-critical functions.	Annual assurance by Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee.	Partially Effective	1		
Essential frontline services not	delivered	Disaster Recovery and BCP not adequately audited	Suite of BCPs in place for all non-critical CG functions	Not Effective	2		
		Non-critical functions not covered by BCPs		•	•	Very serious	
		Poor governance (committee assurance) of BCP				Low	
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment	
Embed BCP ownership and ac	ccountability						
 Implement rigorous testing reg 	ime to support continuing t	fit for purpose BCM				5	
Establish quarterly reporting to						Impact	
Overview of critical and non-cr	•	to AR&S				Likelihood	
Review regime for critical BCP	's to be devised.					Very serious	
						Almost Impossible	

Risk Owner	Richard Ellis	Risk Manager	Martin Allan;	
	A Desktop testing exercise undertaken in September by the BCP owners. It also identified corporate actions to be undert facilitating the progress of these actions. As a result of the Testing exercise 2 of the controls have increase.	aken by the Emergency Planning Manager. The Busin		22 Nov 2016

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen prid	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities					
Code	CG002	Risk of poor performance	e management structures				
Definition	Performance management	nt supports an effective compliance culture and change and improvement processes					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk	
		Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
Inability to measure effectiveneoutcomes	ess of impacts and	Lack of buy-in to robust performance culture	Management buy in to performance management is embedded	Fully Effective	1		
 Inconsistent approach to the trimprovement agendas 	ansformation and	Accounts Commission Guidelines not met	Consistent corporate performance management and reporting framework embedded at all levels	Fully Effective	1	Impact	
Censure by government agence Reputational damage	cies	• Inconsistent performance reporting framework KPIs do not	Strong benchmarking activity leading to robust target-setting	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood	
Inability to evidence Best Valu Public Performance Reporting	•	Poor benchmarking activity Inadequate target-setting KPIs do not inform service	KPIs established to support plan delivery	Fully Effective	2		
standards • Governance –assurance and a			'Golden thread' ensures strategic priorities are properly measured	Fully Effective	1		
	,		Robust governance structure at committee level	Fully Effective	1		
		not aligned to Strategic			Serious		
		priorities Committees do not oversee performance management and improvement				Very Low	
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment	
1 '	dicator identification which enchmarking information to					Likelihood Serious Very Low	

Risk Owner	Richard Ellis	Risk Manager	Martin Allan;			
Latest Note	The Performance Management Framework Project team ha	ve the following remit:		22 Nov 2016		
	The purpose of Aberdeen City Council's PMF is to:					
	ensure the Council's priorities are clearly articulated and d	elivered through effective service planning and alloca	tion of resources;			
	 motivate staff by ensuring that individuals are aware of the vision; 	e staff by ensuring that individuals are aware of their own targets and goals and how these contribute to achieving the Council's				
	ensure that significant risks to the achievement of objective	es are identified and managed;				
	• provide early warning, and rectification, of poor performance	ce;				
	• provide a strong evidence base for improved decision mak	ring; and				
	through all of the above, improve services and outcomes for The Framework is designed to make best use of all our resorresulting in better outcomes for our customers and community.	ources in a co-ordinated way to ensure effective moni	toring of priorities,			
	The Project team have met twice since September and anot on a number of the above workstreams, including the issuing session to inform the service plans, the confirmation of consthrough 121's with Senior Management.	g of service plan templates and guidance; a 3 day EC	MT budget planning			
	Next steps include that the Project Team be permanent once be dealt with by the Project Team who have the expertise or developed into the Framework.					

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities							
Code	CG004	Risk of poor financial cor	Risk of poor financial compliance					
Definition	The Council is bound to	comply with financial stewardship requirements						
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
Inability to deliver essential ser Government censure	rvices	Failure of council tax billing / collection	Key financial procedures adhered to	Fully Effective	1	O		
Special measures		Failure of NDR billing / collection	Annual corporate budget-setting process.	Fully Effective	1	Impact		
Reputational damage Negative financial impact		 Qualified accounts Failure to set balanced budget	Internal and external audit assurance	Fully Effective	1	Likelihood		
		Critical financial procedures not followed	Governance – assurance framework / robust delegated powers framework	Partially Effective	1			
						Very serious		
						Very Low		
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment		
 Reviewed and embedded sche CIPFA FM Financial Regulations Governance Review 	eme of delegation in place	e (Governance Review)				Likelihood		
						Very serious		
						Almost Impossible		
Risk Owner	Steve Whyte		Risk Manager	Steve Whyte				
Latest Note		ion which is being considered as par ill be recorded against this risk.	t of the Governance Review, is still out for comm	ment until late Augus	st 2016.	04 Aug 2016		

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen pr	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities						
Code	CG005	Risk of inadequate information and data management						
Definition	The Council is required be securely and only for the	y law to evidence that appropriate management of its information and Data protection legislation binds the Council to hold data purpose it was collected.						
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
Service disruption Inadequate performance in	formation	Inconsistent approach to information and data	Establishment of comprehensive Information Governance Board	Fully Effective	1	O		
 Inability to share services Reduced capability to delive 		management and security • Lack of capability and / or non-	Monitoring and reporting of mandatory training and compliance exceptions	Partially Effective	1	Impact		
Unlawful disclosure of sens	sitive information	compliance by individuals • Lack of connectivity between	Embedded Senior Information Risk Officer role	Fully Effective	1	Likelihood		
Individual placed at risk of tProsecution –penalties imp		corporate information management requirements • Inadequate information	Quarterly SIRO reporting to CMT	Fully Effective	1			
Reputational damage	EDULATIONAL VAINAUE :		agement systems			Very serious Low		
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment		
Embed SIRO role including	reporting relationships and s	structures						
Council-wide training and a	awareness programme					+		
• Implement Master Data Ma	anagement programme					Impact		
• Establish comprehensive Ir	nformation Governance Board	d						
• Implement Information Man	nagement Strategy programm	ne				Likelihood		
 Establish reporting relations 	ship between SIRO and Resp	oonsible Officer				Very serious		
Modernise the corporate re	ecord stores					Very Low		
Risk Owner	Simon Haston		Risk Manager	Simon Hasto	n			
Latest Note			no is driving several areas of information governance also reviews and inputs to the SIRO report to CMT an			23 Nov 2016		

Drivers	Smarter Living - Quality of Life							
Code	CG006	Risk of non-compliance w	rith health and safety management system	m				
Definition	The Council is required by Health and Safety Policy.	equired by law to safeguard its employees and members of the public to ensure their health and safety through effective implementation of the ty Policy.						
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
Fatality or serious injury to empublic	ployee or member of the	Lack of control management of H&S Risk	Plan, Do, Check, Act approach based on known risk adopted in the Health and Safety Policy	Partially Effective	1	0		
 Corporate Health and Safety F Increase costs due to absence 	•	Lack of identification and completion of suitable training	Effective training regime in place for all key staff	Partially Effective	1	Impact		
Prosecution for failings (crimin Compensation claims (civil)	al)	 Poor communication and co- ordination of H&S risk Non-reporting and recording of 	Implemented Directorate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Improvement Plans including embedded reporting and recording arrangements	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood		
 Enforcement action – cost recovery of regulator time Poor service delivery due to high absence levels 		incidents and near misses	Corporate health, safety and wellbeing development programme contributes to a competent workforce	Partially Effective	1			
Reputational damage	 Reputational damage resulting in identification of remedial action to prevent 		Proactive and reactive monitoring of health and safety performance management e.g. Management assurance provided via Directorates and HSW team	Partially Effective	1			
		Compliance with health and safety management system is not monitored	Positive H&S risk-aware culture	Partially Effective	1	_		
		No realistic targets set for Improvement	Health and Safety Committee structure in place for co-ordination and consultation process	Fully Effective	2			
		Poor health and safety culture				Very serious		
		of organisation • Lack of acceptance of responsibility for health and safety through employees, managers, HOS, directors – elected members.				Low		
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment		

- Ensure all incidents competently investigated
- Embed regular review of risk assessments
- Ensure electronic reporting consistently used
- Implementation of Maximising Attendance Improvement Plan
- Performance indicators used to measure implementation of improvement plan actions
- Create a culture of H&S competence throughout workforce
- Establish management assurance of control of risk;
- Identify exact H & S training needs for every employee from job profiles;
- Develop and implement electronic management system to ensure identified actions closed off;

	Ver Alm	y s	erio		1	
his risk.	04	Aud	20	016	3	

Risk Owner	Ewan Sutherland	Risk Manager	Mary Agnew	
	The H&S Management Framework Review is under way but One output has found that the Plan, Do, Check control is not and Safety Committee control has been revised to a weight	t fully effective and has been downgraded to partially e	effective. The Health	04 Aug 2016

Drivers	Smarter Governance - Pa	Smarter Governance - Participation						
Code	CG007	Risk that legislative and p	olicy changes are not anticipated or plar	ned for				
Definition	The Council must be awa seized.	re and plan for changes in legislati	and plan for changes in legislation and policy and ensure that risks to functions and services are managed and opportunities					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
• The potential impact will relate or legislation on which the Coun		Poor horizon-scanning Dysfunctional relationships with	Embedded legislation and policy tracking	Fully Effective	1	0		
engage. Non compliance with le clearly, highly significant legally terms of service to customers, re	gislative requirements is, and also potentially in eputation and finances.	legislative bodies • Absence of clear negotiation opportunities with Scottish	Effective consultation with legislative and policy- making bodies which draws on both member and officer input	Partially Effective	1	in i		
Opportunities to influence policy highly context specific in terms of		Government over fiscal matters • Lack of robust internal	Effective communication between directorates and from OCE & Legal Services	Fully Effective	1	Likelihood		
		Robust 1-2-1 structure (CE-Director) which includes legislation / policy monitoring	Fully Effective	1				
		planning processes	Understanding of and willingness to embrace opportunities arising from legislation	Partially Effective	1			
						Very serious		
						Very Low		
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment		
Political sign-off from key elect	ted members is received , to improve internal comms	ously and comprehensively to cons where required, before responses a processes over the next 18 month	are delivered to legislators and policy makers.			Likelihood Very serious Almost Impossible		
Risk Owner	Richard Ellis		Risk Manager	Martin Murch	ie	1 1		
Latest Note						25 Jan 2017		

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen pri	berdeen priorities						
Code	CG008	Risk that workforce plann	isk that workforce planning is ineffective					
Definition	The quality of the workfor	rce is key to the delivery of high qu	e is key to the delivery of high quality services and to implementing the transformation and improvement agendas.					
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
		C	Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
Service quality deteriorates Workforce attrition		Workforce planning is not aligned with business need	Workforce Planning Strategy	Fully Effective	1			
Over-reliance on agency support		Absence of development opportunities leads to poor	Workforce planning fully aligned with financial and business planning	Partially Effective	1	Impact		
Council not seen as an employ Inability to recruit key senior ma	anagers.	morale and retention deficits • Recruitment practices are	Service workforce plans in place and fully implemented	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood		
Inability to deliver essential ser	VICES.	Training is not aligned to	Recruitment practices are based on sound training	Partially Effective	1			
	 business need Knowledge capture processes 	PR&D process supports effective staff development	Partially Effective	1				
		not in place	Staff turnover falls within 'healthy' ratio in key occupational categories	Partially Effective	1			
						Serious		
						Low		
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment		
 Deliver corporate overview of w Build workforce planning into b Build workforce planning into b Monitor completion of recruitme Monitor through performance n Continue to develop and impro New agency to support recruitment 	nancial planning usiness planning ent training for new manag nanagement staff turnove		S			Likelihood Serious Very Low		
Risk Owner	Ewan Sutherland		Risk Manager	Mike Lawson	1			

II atest Note	l l
Latest Note	l l

Drivers	All Smarter Aber	Smarter Aberdeen priorities								
Code	CG009	Risk of poor employee en	Risk of poor employee engagement levels							
Definition	Internal and exte	rnal influences on the management of char	fluences on the management of change in the delivery of services and core functions may impact negatively on workforce mora							
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk				
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment				
Poor retention levels Difficult to attract / recri	uit kev staff	 Lack of development opportunities 	Robust career progression levels	Partially Effective	1					
Deteriorating service quality Increased customer complaints	 Reducing financial resources – heavy workloads 	Appropriate training modules responding to identified skills gaps through PR&D process	Partially Effective	1	Impact					
	Increasing customer demandsincreasing workloads	Reward system which identifies and responds positively to good achievers	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood					
	 Poor management / supervisory skills 	Engagement culture focussing on inclusive approach to decision making	Partially Effective	1						
			Healthy staff turnover ratio appropriate to key occupational categories	Fully Effective	1					
			Strong management abilities and practices.	Partially Effective	1					
			Internal comms and engagement programme	Partially Effective	1					
				-		Serious				
						Low				
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment				
Reward and recognitionTransformation engageTraining needs identified	n system ement system re 'good ide ed from PR&D aligned wit	ces supported to manage workforce plannings; taken forward h provision and monitored for delivery against improvement				Likelihood				
monitor and report star	. ongagomont lovolo tillot	against improvement				Serious				
						Very Low				

Risk Owner	Ewan Sutherland	Risk Manager	Mike Lawson	
	'Mood checks' were introduced at the last Town Hall briefing Opinion Survey will take place in late 2016 and again will inf		s risk. The Employee	04 Aug 2016

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities								
Code	CG010	Risk that change is not de	Risk that change is not delivered or managed effectively						
Definition	The Council is committed to a culture where transformation, innovation and improvement are forefront in delivering services in a constantly changing environment.								
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment			
Strategic and service commits Poor outcomes for local peop	le	Lack of support for transformation and improvement agendas	Effective and dynamic leadership of a transformational and innovative culture which embraces transformational projects	Partially Effective	1	g 0			
Scarce resources used ineffeFirefighting required to rescue	•	Transformation and improvement workstreams not	Proactive approach to change projects in the budget setting process	Partially Effective	1	<u>m</u>			
Increased costs Increased financial pres		aligned with business planningPoor information management	Information and data management supports business intelligence approach to decision making	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood			
		Inconsistent approach to programme management	Visionary forward planning which takes account of projected workforce and skills needs	Partially Effective	1				
			Sound governance and structures supporting transformation	Partially Effective	1				
						Serious			
						Low			
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment			
Ensure all projects sit within a Ensure collaborative, cross-cu	~	structure				5			
 Ensure collaborative, cross-coll Ensure robust performance m 	•	ahlished				mpact			
• SMT oversight of delivery	lanagement of delivery con	abilotioa				Likelihood			
Implement change management change manageme	ent toolkit Review of Intern	al Audit effectiveness				Serious			
						Very Low			
Risk Owner	Richard Ellis		Risk Manager	Martin Allan;	bsm bsm	•			
Latest Note			new focus for the Corporate Governance Directorate ner comprise the comprehensive transformation of co			03 Feb 2017			

functions across the Council. These programmes are subject to risk management in their own right and the output of this approach will inform the management of this high-level risk over the coming months.

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities									
Code	CG011	Risk of poor contract mar	isk of poor contract management							
Definition	An effective and proper	ly maintained contracts register unde	intained contracts register underpins good supplier management and efficient use of resources							
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk				
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment				
Essential services not suppo Scarce resources inefficiently		Absence of properly maintained contracts register	Regularly updated contracts register	Partially Effective	1					
Duplication of effort	, useu	Contract expirations not managed	Delegation of powers in standing orders to ensure correct authorisation levels in place	Fully Effective	1	mbact				
Pool of suppliers reduces		 Poor supplier relationship management 	Contract management procedures	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood				
LitigationReputational damageFinancial targets not met	Poor contract management skills levels	Robust skills in place for contract managers including commercial awareness / understanding of framework agreements	Partially Effective	1						
			Focus on continuous improvement and cost reduction	Partially Effective	1					
						Very serious				
						Low				
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment				
Identify key personnel and de	eliver contracts manageme	ent OIL training				O				
Ensure contracts manageme	nt procedures focus on bu	siness critical contracts				+				
Complete population of contr	acts database					Impact				
 Launch procurement website 										
Deliver reduction in off-contra	•					Likelihood Very serious				
 Embed HOS responsibility for 										
Embed SMT oversight of CG	contracts management					Very Low				
Risk Owner	Craig Innes		Risk Manager	Craig Innes						
Latest Note	The Governance Revie	w includes a comprehensive overhau	ul of the framework for the effective governance of ou	r relationship v	vith Arms	03 Feb 2017				

Length External Organisations, These relationships are fundamentally contractual in nature. A review of ALEO Service Level Agreements and the governance structures around monitoring of ALEO performance, is under way and progress will be recorded against this risk.	

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeer	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities								
Code	CG012	Risk that Strategic and Di	rectorate Business Plan commitments ar	re not deliv	ered					
Definition	The Strategic, Director culture.	ne Strategic, Directorate and Team Plans set out our commitments to improved service delivery and the achievement of better outcomes in a Best Value liture.								
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk				
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment				
Service delivery deteriorates Staff are disengaged from sta	ted commitments	Objectives and actions are unrealistic or badly scoped	Objectives are SMART	Partially Effective	1	0				
Staff are disengaged from stated commitments Reputational damage	Performance measures are inadequate to evidence improved	Performance management framework supports effective strategic and service planning	Partially Effective	1	Impact					
Resources are wasted / used ineffectively Improved outcomes are not achieved		outcomes • The 'golden thread' is absent	Governance arrangements serve to maintain momentum of plan delivery	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood				
		 and required linkages are not made Consideration of risk is not properly taken Staff are not fully engaged with 	Risk management framework is fully aligned with business planning cycle and includes clear appetite statement	Partially Effective	1					
	Effective employee engagement strategies in place		Partially Effective	1						
	Planning • Performance management frameworks (CMT, SMT, 1-2-1, committees) do not support	Accountabilities are clear and in place and PR&D objectives are linked to strategic and service planning	Partially Effective	1						
		delivery Transformation and innovation	Governance arrangements serve to maintain momentum of strategic plan delivery	Partially Effective	1					
		workstreams are not aligned				Very serious				
		with plans				Low				
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment				
 Implement consistent perform Ensure all required reporting Ensure 'golden thread' is pres Revise risk management fram Align PR&D objectives with si 	matters are covered in sent in all strategic plan nework and scope risk a	corporate reporting framework ning activity and reporting				Likelihood				

				Very serious
				Very Low
Risk Owner	Richard Ellis	Risk Manager	CG SMT	
Latest Note				

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities								
Code	CG013	Risk that financial resource	isk that financial resources are not managed effectively						
Definition	The Council faces continu	g and increasing pressure to deliver services in an environment of reducing financial resources							
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk			
		Cor	Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment			
Major capital projects are not cCapital budgets are not control		Inadequate communication between capital project	Strong reporting framework which identifies emerging risk	Fully Effective	1	O			
• Investment opportunities are m	nissed.	management and Corporate Governance.	Projects only allocated to officers with appropriate skills.	Partially Effective	1	Impact			
 Censure by government and it Reputational damage 		Lack of project and risk management skills in major	Adequate lead-in time to support accurate forecasting.	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood			
 Essential service delivery jeopardised through poor management of finances Strategic Planning objectives are not achieved 	project planning.	Effective negotiating and influencing skills in place to ensure capital planning process adhered to.	Partially Effective	1					
		Enforced financial procedures compliance.	Fully Effective	1					
		medium term. • Poor adherence to collaborative	Robust planning for borrowing ensuring proper treasury investment can be maximised.	Partially Effective	1				
			Risk based internal audit plan.	Fully Effective	1				
	Last minute borrowing requirements mean poor investment planning	1-2-1 process includes coverage of capital projects.	Partially Effective	1					
		Internal / external audit				Very serious			
		assurance absent.				Low			
		Poor governance of strategic planning by senior managers							
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment			

- Embed effective project management skills across the organisation
- Embed Director / CE 1-2-1 process to cover all key capital projects.
- Embed adequate lead-in time frame to support robust forecasting.

		0			
Impact					
ᇤ					
	Lik	elih	ood		
Ver	y s	erio	ous		
Ver	νL	.ow			

				VCI y LOW
Risk Owner	Richard Ellis	Risk Manager	Steve Whyte	
	Work is underway to align with major capital project risk man will include a core suite of financial indicators, which will also		ween Director and HOS	04 Aug 2016

Drivers	Smarter Mobility - 7	Smarter Mobility - Transport and ICT								
Code	CG014	Risk of major IT business	systems failure							
Definition	Secure, well-function	oning business systems are critical to car	pusiness systems are critical to carrying out statutory functions, providing essential services and ensuring legal compliance.							
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk				
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment				
Customers cannot contact the Essential services not deliver		Inadequate firewalls to protect internal systems from attack	Best practice security controls	Partially Effective	1	O				
 Essential services not delivered Critical functions including payroll not carried out Suppliers not paid Contracts not managed Workforce not managed Reputational damage 	System obsolescence Power fluctuations	Regular business systems review	Partially Effective	1	Impact					
	Communications systems failure	Full, maintained contracts register	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood					
	Inadequate backups Poor IT skills base	Tested Business Continuity Plans in place	Partially Effective	1						
	Poor processes for data	Robust Disaster Recovery arrangements (including testing)	Partially Effective	1						
		Systems housed in poor environments	Robust customer-led governance structures	Partially Effective	1					
			IT staff trained in all essential skills areas	Partially Effective	1					
			Regular business systems reviews	Partially Effective	1					
						Very serious				
						Low				
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment				
 Upgrade of core infrastructure Ensure application reviews are Implement new governance re Ensure total cost of ownership Implement Best practice life of 	nd resilience testing in nodel for IT p					Likelihood				
implement best practice life t	yor management					Very serious				

• Rationalise applications and upgrade aging systems • Ensure secure network engineering				
Risk Owner	Simon Haston	Risk Manager	Sandra Massey	
Latest Note				

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities							
Code	CG016	Risk that the Council is ill-equipped to deal with a council tax increase in 2017/18						
Definition								
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment		
Lower collection rates. Increased cost of recovery.	Increased cost of recovery. Poor media impact. Uncertainty over Scottish Government guidance on uture of council tax. Uncertainty over alignment of council tax revenue with		Consultation with Scottish Government policy-makers	Partially Effective	1			
Poor media impact.			Communication with sytem suppliers	Partially Effective	1	Impact		
future of council tax.			Communication between Revenues and Benefits and Accountnacy Teams	Fully Effective	1	Likelihood		
budget planning.			Communication between Services	Fully Effective	1			
			Performance Management monitoring on collection rates, cash collected and costs	Fully Effective	1			
						Serious		
						Significant		
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment		
						Impact		
						Likelihood		
						Serious		
						Very Low		
Risk Owner			Risk Manager			1		
Latest Note	The Revenues and Benefits Team have a risk assessed plan for the implementation of annual council tax billing 2017/18. This was updated 22/12/16 and is due for revision February 2017. It includes the following mitigating actions: Ensuring key staff have remote access (Business continuity). Monitor server cloning (Business continuity).							

Ensure knowledge transfer for effective future planning. Confirm Disaster Recovery capability to take account of Revs and Bens needs. Confirm bulk printing capacity with Xerox and contingency at alternative site - close liaison to be maintained. Ensure availability of up to 20 staff at Marischal for enveloping in the event of mechanical failure at Woodhill House. QA of ctax banding change calculation in advance of billing. Full testing of new software (Academy) to take account of changes in conjunction with all relevant staff. (Other operational measures are in process to ensure the mitigation of this risk).	
---	--

Drivers	All Smarter Aberdeen priorities								
Code	CG017	Risk that the Council does not deliver a balanced budget for 2017/18							
Definition		<u> </u>							
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness			Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Weight	Assessment			
 Council fails to meet its statutory obligations. Essential services not delivered. Serious reputational damage. Staff morale deficit. 		Political failure to support properly costed affordable	Robust MTFP procedures	Fully Effective	1	0			
		budget options • Absence of a risk-based	Effective annual corporate budget-setting process	Partially Effective	1	Impact			
		approach to growthLack of prioritisationNo awareness of timescales	Effective negotiating and influencing skills in play between key managers and elected leaders	Partially Effective	1	Likelihood			
			Effective approach to costing growth and minimised underspend	Partially Effective	1				
					Very serious				
						Very Low			
Mitigating Actions						Residual Risk Assessment			
Corporate Governance Time	table prepared								
Regular budget slots at Senior Management Team meetings									
Head of Service Budget Meetings included in timetable									
						Likelihood Very serious			
						Almost Impossible			
Risk Owner			Rick Manager			Aimost impossible			
	Diek undeted with	raying disting actions 19/9/40	Risk Manager			06 Con 2016			
Latest Note	Risk updated with revised mitigating actions 18/8/16.					06 Sep 2016			